

CSHS Poster Competition Criteria Summary Evaluation

Title: _____
Presenter: _____
Number: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: Using the most appropriate context category, please score the scientific presentation on a scale of **1-4**.

1=strongly disagree 2=disagree 3=agree 4=strongly agree

PART 1: PRIOR TO MEETING WITH STUDENT:

A. POSTER PRESENTATION (APPEARANCE)

- 1) Poster attracts viewer attention. _____
- 2) Poster is neat and appealing to look at. _____
- 3) Poster is well organized and easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas, methods, and results. _____
- 4) Words are easy to read from an appropriate distance (3'-5') _____

B. CONTENT

- 1) Content is clear and easy to understand. _____
- 2) Purpose of study (question being addressed) is stated clearly. _____
- 3) Conclusions are stated clearly and supported by study results. _____
- 4) Scientific method was utilized in the study. _____
- 5) Content (text) is accurate. _____
- 6) Topic relevant to horticulture _____
- 7) Poster is free of unnecessary detail. _____

C. ORIGINALITY

- 1) New technique or idea _____
- 2) Creative approach serves a purpose _____

D. ILLUSTRATIONS

- 1) Graphics and other visuals enhance presentation. _____
- 2) Illustrations are of good technical quality. _____
- 3) Illustrations are relevant to the presentation. _____
- 4) Legends (labels) clearly identify and explain the illustration(s) _____

E. REFERENCES

- 1) References are used to support the presentation. _____

TOTAL POINT VALUE FOR PART 1 _____

**PART 2: ONSITE JUDGING OF PRESENTATION
INTERVIEW WITH SELECTION COMMITTEE AT HALIFAX 2006
CONFERENCE**

- 1) Reference to material contained within the poster _____
- 2) Presenter spoke clearly and effectively _____
- 3) Presented in a logical manner _____
- 4) Effectively summarized findings _____
- 5) Questions were addressed succinctly, thoroughly and thoughtfully _____

Total From Part 2 _____

TOTAL POINT VALUE FROM PART 1 AND PART 2: _____

Comments:

Judge's Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

**Immediately following the judging, please return the Evaluation Form to the
Registration Desk.**

CSHS Oral Contribution Competition Criteria Summary Evaluation

Title: _____

Presenter: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: Using the most appropriate context category, please score the scientific presentation on a scale of **1-4**.

1=strongly disagree 2=disagree 3=agree 4=strongly agree

A. PRESENTATION CONTENT

- 1) Introduction was informative and appropriate _____
- 2) Hypothesis was stated clearly and accurately _____
- 3) Study design and setting were stated clearly and accurately _____
- 4) Results were stated clearly and accurately _____
- 5) The discussion was relevant and logical _____
- 6) The information contained no false or misleading information _____
- 7) The summary and conclusions were supported by the content _____
- 8) There were few spelling or grammatical errors _____

Total Part A: _____

B. PRESENTATION STYLE

- 1) Presenter spoke clearly and effectively _____
- 2) Presented in a logical manner _____
- 3) The content was of interest to horticulturalists _____
- 4) Overall, the presentation was well-organized and succinct _____
- 5) Effectively summarized findings _____
- 6) Questions were addressed succinctly, thoroughly and thoughtfully _____
- 7) Visual aids were relevant to the presentation _____
- 8) Finished within the allotted amount of time _____

Total Part B: _____

TOTAL POINT VALUE FROM PART 1 AND PART 2 _____

Comments:

Judge's Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

**Immediately following the judging, please return the Evaluation Form to the
Registration Desk.**